

The Hon. Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

THOMASINA GALLISHAW
a/k/a Thomasina Lundy,
Defendant.

No. CR20-054-RAJ

ORDER OF FORFEITURE

THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, Defendant Thomasina Gallishaw's interest in the following property:

A sum of money in the amount of \$59,651.90, reflecting the proceeds Defendant Thomasina Gallishaw obtained from Theft of Public Funds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641.

The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is appropriate because:

- The proceeds of Theft of Public Funds, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 641, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);

- In her Plea Agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), as it reflects proceeds she obtained from her Theft of Public Funds offense (Dkt. No. 12, ¶ 14); and,
- This sum of money is personal to the Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure (“Fed. R. Crim. P.”) 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 1) Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and her Plea Agreement, the Defendant’s interest in the above-identified sum of money in the amount of \$59,651.90 is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;
- 2) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) – (B), this Order will become final as to the Defendant at the time she is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and, it will be included in the judgment;
- 3) No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party other than the United States;
- 4) Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed this sum of money in the amount of \$59,651.90; and
- 5) The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing this Order, as necessary.

DATED this 23rd day of July, 2021.



The Honorable Richard A. Jones
United States District Judge